September 9, 1985 6914A/hdm

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PREAMBLE:

Cynthia Sullivan Ruby Chow Bob Greive Bill Reams Gary Grant Lois North

Introduced by:

Proposed No.: 85-435

7344

ORDINANCE NO.

AN ORDINANCE providing for the submission to the qualified electors of the County, at a special election to be held therein in conjunction with the general election on November 5, 1985, of a proposition authorizing the county to issue its unlimited tax levy general obligation bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$31,500,000 for the purpose of providing funds to the City of Seattle to acquire, construct, equip and make certain capital improvements to the Woodland Park Zoo pursuant to an interlocal Cooperation Agreement between the County and the City of Seattle; authorizing an agreement with the City of Seattle for such purpose; authorizing the creation of a Zoo Development Fund; providing for the annual levy and collection of taxes, to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds.

The Woodland Park Zoo (the "Zoo") provides the citizens of King County with an important educational and recreational park facility. The Zoo is in need of major capital improvements if it is to effectively and humanely display and care for its rich and varied animal collection. The City of Seattle has adopted the Woodland Park Zoo Long Range Plan and a commission appointed by the Mayor of Seattle (the "Zoo Commission") has issued a detailed report proposing a plan of capital improvements to the Zoo. If approved by the voters, the county intends to issue its unlimited tax levy general obligation bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$31,500,000 and assist in the financing of such capital improvements to the Zoo over a ten year period. The proceeds of such bonds' would be used only upon final approval of an interlocal cooperation agreement between the City of Seattle and the County in which the responsibilities of the City and County with respect

The constitution and laws of the State of Washington provide that the question of whether or not such bonds may be issued and sold for such purposes must be submitted to the qualified electors of the county for their ratification or rejection. Approval of such bonds would not have an adverse environmental impact nor would it limit the choice of reasonable alternatives to the proposed improvements.

to these capital improvements would be prescribed.

29

30 31

32 33

September 9, 1985

-1-

Lois North Bruce Laing 5-435

-1

 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. <u>Definitions</u>. The following words and terms as used in this ordinance shall have the following meanings for all purposes of this ordinance, unless some other meaning is plainly intended.

- A. "Bond" or "Bonds" means any or all of the general obligation Bonds of the County issued pursuant to this ordinance, or any series of such bonds.
- B. "Bond Redemption Fund" means the King County General
 Obligation Bond Redemption Fund previously created in the office
 of the King County director of finance.
 - C. "City" means the City of Seattle.
 - D. "County" means King County.
- E. "Legislative Authority" means with respect to the City, the mayor and city council of the City, and with respect to the County, the county executive and the county council.
- F. "Zoo" or the "Woodland Park Zoo" means the Woodland Park Zoological Gardens, a 92-acre public zoo located in Seattle, Washington and maintained and operated by the City of Seattle.
- G. "Zoo Cooperation Agreement" means an agreement or agreements, or amendments thereto, as authorized in section 4 of this ordinance between the City and the County.
- H. "Zoo Development Fund" means the capital projects fund authorized in Section 6 of this ordinance within the office of the King County director of finance.
- I. "Zoo Project" means the planning, design, purchase, acquisition, development, construction, equipping or rehabilitating of new or existing facilities as summarized in Exhibit A hereto and more specifically described in the report of the Mayor's Zoo Commission: Woodland Park Zoo 1984-85 attached hereto as Exhibit C and incorporated herein by reference as such

undertakings may be modified from time to time pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement and the provisions of this ordinance.

<u>SECTION 2.</u> Findings. The King County council hereby makes the following findings:

The Woodland Park Zoo is a park facility that is in need of major capital improvements in order to effectively and humanely display animals for educational and recreational benefit to the citizens of the County. A significant portion of the visitors to the Zoo are residents of the County.

Existing sources of funds for capital expenditures, including private contributions, are insufficient to implement the Woodland Park Zoo Long Range Plan in the manner recommended by the Zoo Commission in its report referenced in Section 1.

Additional financial assistance to the City in the amount of \$31,500,000 from the issuance of King County general obligation bonds would make it possible for the City to construct the improvements recommended by the Zoo Commission.

Based on the foregoing facts and findings, the King County council further finds that the public interest would be served by the issuance of bonds to assist the City in making certain capital improvements to the Zoo. Such assistance shall be provided in the manner hereinafter set forth and at the time or times deemed most necessary and advisable by the Legislative Authority of the County.

SECTION 3. Approval of Financing Plan. The County hereby approves the plan of financing for the Zoo Project contained in Exhibit A hereto and incorporated herein by reference, subject to environmental review and approval of the Zoo Project by appropriate governmental agencies. The Zoo Project and such plan of financing may be modified only in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement authorized in Section 4 hereof.

The estimated cost of the Zoo Project is approximately \$41,000,000 (in 1985 dollars) of which \$31,500,000 would be funded September 9, 1985

32

33

by the County through the issuance of the Bonds. The remainder of the costs would be paid from private and other public contributions, investment earnings on the Bond proceeds and contributions and City appropriations. The extent to which and the order in which components of the Zoo Project will be funded and completed will be determined pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement authorized in Section 4 hereof.

SECTION 4. Zoo Cooperation Agreement. The county executive is hereby authorized to negotiate a Zoo Cooperation Agreement with the City. The Zoo Cooperation Agreement shall not become effective until approved by the Legislative Authority of the County by ordinance and shall include the provisions contained in Exhibit B attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference; provided, that the Legislative Authority of the County may approve a Zoo Cooperation Agreement which contains provisions which are modifications of the provisions contained in Exhibit B if it finds that such Zoo Cooperation Agreement and such modifications are in the best interest of the citizens of King County. The Zoo Cooperation Agreement shall contain such other provisions as the Legislative Authorities of the County and the City agree are necessary and appropriate to carry out the purposes of this ordinance. Under the terms of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement and upon voter approval pursuant to Section 9 hereof, the County will provide funds for the payment of a portion of the costs of the Zoo Project.

SECTION 5. Authorization of Bonds. For the purpose of providing funds necessary to assist the City in paying the capital costs of the Zoo Project, the County hereby authorizes the issuance of its unlimited tax levy general obligation bonds in the aggregate principal amount of \$31,500,000. No Bonds or short term obligation shall be issued until the Zoo Cooperation Agreement becomes effective. The Bonds shall be issued in such amounts and

at such time or times as found necessary and advisable by the Legislative Authority of the County; may be issued in one or more series; may be combined with other authorized general obligation bonds of the County and issued and sold as single issues of County bonds; and shall bear interest payable at a rate or rates not to exceed a maximum rate authorized by the Legislative Authority of the County. The Bonds shall mature in such amounts and at such times within a maximum term of twenty years from date of issue, but may mature at an earlier date or dates, as authorized by the Legislative Authority of the County and as provided by law. The exact date, form, terms, options of redemption, maturities, and conditions of sale of the Bonds shall be as hereafter fixed by ordinance or ordinances of the Legislative Authority of the County passed for such purpose.

SECTION 6. Use of Bond Proceeds. If the Bonds are approved by the voters, the principal proceeds of the sale of the Bonds and any premium thereon shall be deposited into a special capital projects fund to be entitled the "Zoo Development Fund" to be established in the office of the King County director of finance, except that any accrued interest on the Bonds received at the time of their delivery shall be paid into the Bond Redemption Fund. Money in the Zoo Development Fund may be temporarily deposited in such institutions or invested in such obligations as may be lawful for the investment of County money and may be temporarily advanced to the Bond Redemption Fund to pay bond interest pending receipt of taxes levied therefor.

The principal proceeds of sale of the Bonds and any interest received from the deposit or investment of such proceeds shall be applied and used solely for the purpose of assisting the City in financing the Zoo Project pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement and for paying costs incidental to the issuance of the Bonds. Proceeds of the Bonds shall not be used to pay any costs

of maintenance and operation of the Zoo or for any other than a capital purpose. In the event a determination is made pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement that the Zoo Project is complete, the balance, if any, remaining in the Zoo Development Fund shall be deposited in the Bond Redemption Fund for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds.

The Zoo Development Fund shall be a first tier fund pursuant to K.C.C. 4.10.110, and all earnings on monies invested therein shall be credited to such fund. The current expense fund shall not receive any earnings attributable to the Zoo Development Fund except that the maximum investment service fee allowed by law shall be paid to and deposited in the County current expense fund. The Zoo Development Fund shall be managed as provided in the Zoo Cooperation Agreement and consistent with the provisions of this ordinance.

The County shall transfer monies in the Zoo Development Fund to the City pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement and in accordance with the remainder of this section. Amounts equal to the contribution of the County to the cost of each phase (as such term is used in Exhibit A hereto) of the Zoo Project shall be transferred to the City upon approval by the Legislative Authority of the County of the capital improvement program plan with respect to such phase. The City shall establish a Zoo Project construction fund pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement into which the City shall deposit the funds transferred from the Zoo Development Fund, private contributions, appropriations of the City, and other funds that may become available from time to time to pay the costs of the Zoo Project. In the event a determination is made pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement that the Zoo Project is complete, then proceeds of the Bonds and earnings thereon, if any, remaining in the Zoo Project Construction fund of the City shall be remitted to the County and deposited into the

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

Bond Redemption Fund for the payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds.

SECTION 7. Bond Redemption Fund. The taxes hereafter levied for the purpose of paying principal of and interest on the Bonds shall be placed in an existing special fund of the County known as the "King County Unlimited Tax General Obligation Bond Redemption Fund." The Bond Redemption Fund shall be drawn upon for the sole purpose of paying the principal of and interest on the Bonds and such other general obligation bonds of King County to which monies therein are pledged. Any proceeds resulting from the authorized temporary investment of monies in the Bond Redemption Fund shall be used for the sole purpose of paying the principal of and interest on such bonds.

The County hereby irrevocably covenants and agrees that each year during the life of the Bonds, if authorized by the voters as provided in Section 9 hereof, it will cause to be levied annually a tax upon all the property within the County subject to taxation, in excess of taxes the County is permitted to levy without a vote of the people, in an amount which, together with any other money legally available and to be used therefor, will be sufficient to pay the principal of and interest on the Bonds as the same shall become due. All of such taxes so collected shall be paid into the Bond Redemption Fund.

The County hereby irrevocably pledges that the annual tax provided for herein to be levied for the payment of such principal and interest, if approved by the voters as provided in Section 9 hereof, together with any other money legally available and to be used therefor, will be and is hereby irrevocably set aside, pledged and appropriated for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds.

The full faith, credit and resources of the County are hereby

1 2

6 8

9 10

12 13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

pledged for the annual levy and collection of such taxes and to the prompt payment of the principal of any interest on the Bonds as the same shall become due.

SECTION 8. Interim Financing. After voter approval and pending sale and delivery of the Bonds authorized in Section 5 hereof, the County may provide by ordinance for the interim financing of the Zoo Project as permitted by law.

SECTION 9. Ballot Proposition. It is hereby found that an urgent need exists for the Zoo Project contemplated in Section 3 hereof; and it is hereby declared that an emergency exists requiring submission to the qualified electors of the County of a proposition authorizing the issuance of the general obligation Bonds of the County for the purposes described in this ordinance at a special election to be held in conjunction with the general municipal election to be held therein on November 5, 1985.

The King County manager of records and elections as ex officio supervisor of elections is hereby requested also to find the existence of such emergency pursuant to R.C.W. 29.13, and is requested to assume jurisdiction of and to call and conduct a special election and to submit to the qualified electors of the County the proposition set forth below.

The clerk of the council is hereby authorized and directed to certify the proposition to the King County manager of records and elections in the following form:

KING COUNTY

PROP	OSITION	NO.

For the purpose of making capital improvements to the Woodland Park Zoo, shall King County issue \$31,500,000 of general obligation bonds, payable, both principal and interest, from property tax levies in excess of regular property tax levies, maturing within a maximum term of 20 years from their date, all as more specifically provided in King County Ordinance No. 7344?

BONDS, YES /___/
BONDS, NO /___/

Certification of such proposition by the clerk of the council to the King County manager of records and elections, in accordance with law, prior to the date of such election on November 5, 1985, and any other act consistent with the authority of and prior to the effective date of this ordinance, are hereby ratified and

September 9, 1985

-9-

ì

^

confirmed.

SECTION 10. Severability. In the event of any one or more of the provisions of this ordinance shall for any reason be held to be invalid, such invalidity shall not affect or invalidate any other provision of this ordinance or the Bonds, but this ordinance and the bonds shall be construed and enforced as if such invalid provision had not been contained therein; provided, that any provision which shall for any reason be held by reason of its extent to be invalid shall be deemeed to be in effect to the extent permitted by law.

of <u>August</u>, 1985.

PASSED this gth day of <u>September</u>, 1985.

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Day Frant

ATTEST:

Friends M. Clarent Clerk of the Council

APPROVED this 9+1 day of September, 1985.

King County Executive

EXHIBIT A, Part 1 ZOO PROJECT TOTAL COST

			Total Estimated Costs (In 1985 dollars) (\$ in 000's)
1.	Tropical Forest Zone		(\$ 111 000 5)
	A. Asian Tropical Forest Exhibit Elephants/Sun Bears/Aviary/ Primates/Tigers	6,034 2,414	\$8,448
	B. African/South American Tropical Forest Exhibit		6,759
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7&8.	Taiga Zone Montane Zone Savanna Zone Steppe Zone Desert Zone Temperate Deciduous Forest Zone and		3,000 2,937 2,414 3,426 2,414
	Temperate Rain Forest Zone		1,468
			\$30,866
9.	Special Purpose Exhibits		1,500
	A. Family Farm B. Tropical/Nocturnal Home C. Ponies/Draft Horses D. Other Special Purpose Exhibits		
10.	Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities		4,800
	A. Keeper Facilities B. Maintenance and Horticultural Facilities C. Administrative Offices D. Holding and Breeding Facilities E. Veterinary Facilities		
11.	Education Facilities and Visitor Amenities		3,600
	A. Education and Visitor Center B. Gift Shop/Bookstore/Zoological Society Offices/		
	C. Restroom Facilities and Other Amenities D. Graphics and Site Improvement E. Eating Facilities		
	F. Parking Facilities		\$9,900
	TOTAL		
	1 0 1 1 1 to		\$40,766
			(\$40.8 million)

EXHIBIT A, PART 1 ZOO PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

The following priority listing of major bioclimatic exhibits would be developed and/or enhanced as recommended by the Zoo Commission, as more fully described in Volumes I and II of the Zoo Commission Report, Exhibit C. The exhibits are intended to implement the Zoo's four primary objectives: education, conservation, research, and recreation, and to fulfill the bioclimatic zone and social biology presentation and exhibition themes.

B. African and The following exhibits are envisioned: South American African Tropical Forest: A major portion of the Tropical Forest: A major portion of the Forest expansion is planned. Additional primate islands for colobus monkeys, lemurs, and DeBrazza monkeys are proposed. South American Tropical Forest: A large conservatory for South American bird species, as well as exhibits of squirrel monkeys, lemurs, and tapirs are envisioned. B. African and African With South Central with South Core and species, corser-tapirs, and primate islands core and species, and tapirs are envisioned. Core aviary American bird species, as well as exhibits of squirrel monkeys, lemurs, and tapirs are envisioned. A large conservatory for South American habitat are envisioned. A large conservatory for South Primate islands conservatory for South Primate islands conservatory for South American and African monkeys, such as squirrel, colonic and south as squirrel, colonic and south colonic and	Stage II. This stage will feature three or four same same siamangs, primate islands and approximately 1/4 acre tiger compound with holding area.	area with free-roaming elephant forest, elephant barn, paddock, and outdoor elephant bathing pool; marsh pond with Asian waterfowl, elephant demonstration area and Thai logging camp; elephant forest overlook; Thai forest stream and waterfall; muntjac deer, sunbear, and tree python exhibits; Thai village and garden.	Forest Zone A zone characterized by a wide variety of trees in Eastern Class I Elephants, A. Asian a lush, wet setting and a very high density of boundary of sun bears, Iropical animals supported by a complex variety of Zoo muntjac deer, Forest vegetation and food resources. This zone will Exhibit include extensive holding, landscaping and visitor education facilities. Major elements of the Stage I Acian Tropical Execution Constitution. "Constitution"	Bioclimatic Bioclimatic Summary Description 1. Tropical Recommended Potential Potential Potential Potential Total Acreage LOCATION Class Types Completed
y I		Primates such as orangutans,	j -vd	Potential velopment Animal Types
4 acres total African is 25% complete South American is 25% complete	2 acres 7 acres total	·	5 acres total new zone	Recommended Total Acreage and % of Zone Completed
\$6,759.	\$2,414. \$8,448. Total		\$6,034.	Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

7. Temperate Deciduous Forest Zone	6. Desert Zone	5. Steppe Zone	Bioclimatic Zone
This zone is characterized by multi-leveled forests with trees, shrubs, herbs and a diverse collection of animals, including large carnivores, ungulates small rodentry discompleted with the swamp and a schibit. New portions may include whith a ried deer, song birds, and turtles.	spaced shrubs, succulents, and quick-growing and flowering annuals which sprout immediately after infrequent heavy rains. Many of the animals have evolved physiological and/or behavioral adaptations to a minimal water supply. This exhibit may feature an expansion of the tropical nocturnal house for desert species.	The Steppe zone which is widespread on the interiors of continents, is characterized by the low stature of its plant species which varies, depending on rainfall, from more open terrain with shorter grass. Colder grasslands will support populations of large hoofed animals which move over the landscape in regular migrations. A variety of carnivores, burrowing herbivores, bison, antelope and prairie dogs are planned for the North American Steppe, while the South American Steppe will contain llamas, maned wolves, and rheas.	Summary Description
Southwest partian of Zou	North- central area of Zoo	Northwest corner of Zoo	LOCATION
Class III	Class I	Class III	Development Class
Deer, European bird species, water fowl	Bighorn sheep, peccaries, quail, desert felines such as sand cats	Bison, llama, antelope, maned wolf, cavies, rheas, various bird species, prairie dogs	Potential Animal Types
2 acres North American is 60% complete	2+ acres new zone	4.5 acres	Recommended Total Acreage and % of Zone Completed
\$1,468.* *(Combined with Temperate Rain Forest Zone)	\$2,414.	\$3,426.	Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

	8. Temperate Rain Forest Zone	Bioclimatic Zone
	The North American Temperate Rain Forest located along the Pacific Coast contains the tallest forests in the world. Conifers dominate an understory of shrubs and ferns. This zone represents King County's "native habitat". A miniature forest is proposed to create a habitat for elk, cougar and other species which once flourished in King County.	Summary Description
	North- eastern corner of Zoo	LOCATION
	Class III	Development Class
5 700	Cougar, elk, (wapiti) bird species	Potential Animal Types
DIOCI AMATTO TOUR	2.5 acres	Recommended Total Acreage and % of Zone Completed
	*(Combined with Temperate Deciduous Forest Zone.)	Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

BIOCLAMATIC ZONES ESTIMATED TOTAL COST

\$30,866.

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

enhance the Family Farm Theme. improvements, and reduce or eliminate inconsistent exhibits and facilities to their understanding of domestic animals and appreciation of exotic wildlife. Intention is to continue the Family Farm concept and make modifications and (A) FAMILY FARM To create a link for children between

birds; chicken and rabbit hutches; crafts building; contact area; pasture Zoo designed for special education purposes and public involvement depicting a small farm and illustrating the interdependence of man and domestic animals. This exhibit Summary Description
The family farm is a 3-4 acre special exhibit in southern portion of the the zoo nursery; aviary for northwest and vegetable garden. includes barns for domestic stock;

> Proposed Scope of Capital mprovements

pond area less formal. Remodel the Northwest Aviary. Possibly change the use of the Nursery and birdof the exhibit area. Make the minor improvements, potentially to include: New facility for animals. rearing area to be farm-related Expand the farm theme to the west domestic swine and improvements Described generally as various to the animal contact area.

working components, revise land-scape design to demonstrate farm Other options include: incorporate draft horses into Family Farm as

> Total Cost (\$ in 000s) Estimated

\$250.0

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

(B) TROPICAL/NOCTURNAL HOUSE

facilities concurrently with major exhibit renovation will eventually resolve this disparity. Interim improvements and enhancements to the design as much as possible current structure will be necessary does not fit into the bioclimatic zone and should accommodate the eventua presentation theme. Expansion of these The existing Tropical/Nocturnal House

Summary Description

reptiles, amphibians, and insects on the other side. While the latter side of the building is called a tropical house, it is currently used to exhibit reptiles, amphibians, and other animals in desert grottos as well. Future plans will convert all space to tropical exhibits. An expansion of the building will include desert animals (nocturnal and diurnal) when the desert zone nocturnal animals on one side Currently this facility located in the Zoo's central area houses is complete.

> exhibits. structural changes needed to house more bird species to other work on complex mechanical and building systems to update the facility. Work will include increase the number of mixed Various exhibit modifications and

> > \$300.0

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

(C) PONIES

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

Estimated Total Cost (\$in 000's)

(Ponies and horses are combined)

needed improvements. New stables, yards, and other

A small pony herd is currently maintained in order to give pony rides to youngsters and to use in various 4-H programs. The LRP does not include continued exhibition of ponies or pony rides.

(C) DRAFT HORSES

zoo as long as space is available which does not impinge on exhibition area. A more "natural" ride and envir-

onment should be created.

with overall mission and role of the

However the animals provide educat-

visitors. Draft horses are not accomodated in the Long-Range Plan. Due to lack of adequate pasture, commission recommends continued exhibition of draft horses if sufficient space continues to be ative location can be identified. available or if a suitable altern-Potentially to be incorporated into the Family Farm area. been maintained on the east side of the Zoo to draw a wagon for zoo A team of draft horses has

Page 7

Recommendation Project Objective/Zoo Commission

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

(5) OTHER SPECIAL PURPOSE EXHIBITS

Zoo Commission report does not discuss this exhibit category.

loan or international exchange, such as koalas, giant pandas, or golden monkeys. Other exhibits could include an insect zoo; special educational exhibits special adaptations such as protective coloration, and txamples: convergent evolution; to illustrate a particular inter-pretive theme; rotating different species according to need. areas for animals on short-term or costed out at this time. Possibilities include exhibit special exhibits are anticipated although none has been identified Other temporary and/or permanent other special exhibits include, at present, birds of prey and the Backyard Ecology area. As described by Zoo staff,

\$700.0

SPECIAL PURPOSE EXHIBITS ESTIMATED TOTAL COST

\$1,500.0

10. Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Total Cost \$4.8 Million

Overall Objective: Quality of support facilities is essential to Zoo's overall quality. Improvement of Zoo support facilities is essential to ensure that the Zoo meets comfort, safety, and service needs of its visitors. (Order of presentation reflects perceived need for immediate attention and locational considerations which control the order of improvements.)

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

(A) KEEPER FACILITIES

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

Provide adequate crew quarters, including offices, restrooms, lockers, showers, and lunchroom facilities. Animal curators relocated to administrative offices.

Substantially redevelop existing structure formerly used as a warehouse and currently used for Zoo maintenance operations.

\$500.0 (A & B)

(B) MAINTENANCE & HORTICULTURAL FACILITIES

Provide increased storage space for maintenance and gardening supplies, small shop areas for carpentry, painting and other crafts. Also provide an on-site plant holding area for donated plants, and structure for storing toxic substances.

Redevelop large wood-framed structures described above. Construct a chemical storage building, and a small green house.

(included in above costs-- \$80.0 of \$500.0)

(C) ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICES

Commission recommends that administrative offices be moved to the ARC building following relocation of the education staff to the Poncho Theatre. Provide centralized office space for Zoo director, business manager, operations manager, two secretaries, accounting staff and animal curators.

Minimal remodeling of ARC to effect relocation of administrative offices from bungalow and commissary Also bungalow to be remodeled to serve as graphics production space

\$50.0

10. Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Total Cost \$4.8 Million

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

(D) HOLDING & BREEDING FACILITIES

Adequate off-exhibit areas are needed to hold animals involved in breeding programs, undergoing rehabilitation or are surplus to the Zoo's animal collection.

> ON SITE: Provide additional cages and other facilities at the conservation area in the commissary complex and at other locations.

construct improvements to create an off-site holding and breeding OFF SITE: Purchase 25 to 40 acres of farmland within one hour's drive of the zoo,

(E) VETERINARY FACILITIES

Renovate/reconstruct aged and inadequate animal health facilities.

Also renovate animal hospital areas to provide additional office, laboratory, and support a major expansion and modernization of veterinary facilities to provide approximately 2,000 sq. Complete repairs and construct ft. of modern surgical space.

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

ON SITE: \$350.0

OFF SITE: \$1,500.

TOTAL: \$1,800

\$2,400

\$4,800.

ANIMAL CARE AND
ZOO SUPPORT FACILITIES
ESTIMATED TOTAL COST

Page 10

11. Education Facilities and Visitor Amenities Total Cost \$3.6 Million. The following sequence of education facilities and visitor development and improvement, based on criteria developed by the Zoo Commission are recommended for which include the potential to enhance education/recreational experience, improved comfort for Zoo visitors, the adequacy of current visitor facilities, and potential enhancement of Zoo revenues.

Recommendation Project Objective/Zoo Commission

(A) EDUCATION/VISITOR FACILITIES

(B) GIFT SHOP/BOOK STORE

entrance as a first priority because of the potential for increased Zoo revenue wheel chairs, strollers, umbrellas, and other visitor conveniences. Zoological Society offices should souvenier items as well as rental of and public service. Shop would have ample display for educational and Commission recommends the expansion/ also be incorporated. relocation of the book store to a main

(C) RESTROOM FACILITIES AND OTHER AMENITIES

covered structures are also needed to shelter exhibit-viewing, picnic, and Additional restrooms are needed as well as pathways, water fountains and benches. New

> Improvements Proposed Scope of Capital

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in 000's)

\$500.0

ARC: to include meeting rooms, a discovery room, and approximately center to replace the existing 2,000 sq.ft. of offices. Poncho Theater for an education Replacement and expansion of the

Construct a gift shop/bookstore located at or near a main gate

office space for Seattle Zoological society. side Zoo grounds. Incorporate with access from within and out-

tower and family farm); provision of additional restrooms, bicycle racks, drinking fountains pathways; benches; trails, existing restrooms (at water special events, improvement of and more. improvement of north meadow for Redevelopment of west entry mall;

\$1,750.0

D. Graphics Areas) and F. Covered (Includes

Education Facilities and Visitor Amenities Total Cost \$3.6 Million

Recommendation Project Objective/Zoo Commission

(D) GRAPHICS

needed at strategic locations through-out the zoo as well as a comprehensive signage and graphics program to orient and guide visitors and to explain specific exhibits. Satellite interpretive centers are

(E) EATING FACILITIES

be a sit-down facility, located to be convenient to zoo patrons and to mimimize disruption and be compatible with Long-Range Plans. Satellite facilities to accommodate brown baggers. staff. Eating facilities should be designed to accommodate families, with small children. At least one should several covered eating facilities on the grounds to serve Zoo customers and Commission recommends the location of

(F) COVERED AREAS

greater Provide additional covered facilities for exhibit viewing, pictures, resting during inclement weather; resulting in

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

Add graphics and signage for directions, general instruction and information.

cafe and two or three other snack stands. Development of a new restaurant

shortest term contract possible. restaurant structure, and negotiate with concessionaire the that City should invest in basic (Restaurant Study recommends

This item is not separately identified in documents other than the Zoo Commission Report. Included in C.

Total Cost (\$ in 000's) Estimated

Included in C.

(\$450.0-\$200.0 in Phase I and \$250.0 in Phase II)

\$500.00

Project Objective/Zoo Commission Recommendation

(G) PARKING FACILITIES

Present parking space is inadequate to accomodate zoo visitors, especially on peak attendance days. Commission acknowledged the potential community impact of parking lots and street parking, and recommended efforts to provide alternative transportation modes and to encourage visitor attendance during non-peak periods.

Proposed Scope of Capital Improvements

\$300 to study existing parking lot design at Zoo to add to capacity without significant conversion of Zoo area or park land perimeter to parking and to provide a new lot at the site of the existing elephant house.

\$400,000 to remove existing north lot (future Steppe Zone) and replace. Improvements to largely consist of modifying aisles, space width, and changes to entrance/exits and traffic flow to achieve most efficient design.

Estimated Total Cost (\$ in OoOO's)

\$700.00

\$3,600.0

EDUCATION FACILITIES AND VISITOR AMENITIES ESTIMATED TOTAL COST

ZOO FINANCING AND DEVELOPMENT PLAN

PROJECT COSTS AND SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES (1985 dollars in thousands)

- TABLE 1 -

Visitor Amenities Food Service facilities Gift Shop/Bookstore Phase II Totals	Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Keeper and Maintenance Improvements Veterinary Complex - Stage 1 Expansion on-site holding facilities Education Facilities and	Tropical Forest Zone Completion of Asian Tropical Forest Exhibit African/ South American Tropical Forest Exhibit Special Purpose Exhibits Family Farm Improvements	Phase II Major Bioclimatic Exhibits	Phase I Totals	Education Facilities and Wisitor Amenities Wisitor Amenities Wiffarking Lot and Parking Study Graphics and site improvements	Asial Implied Forest Ext ("Save Our Elephants") Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Chemical and Maintenance Storage	Phase I 199 Major Bioclimatic Exhibits 660 Tropical Forest Zone Action Tradial Communication
0.0		2	1985	660.0	Tr.		1985
0.0			1986	2,770.6	20.0	50.0	1986
200.0	250.0	350.0 250.0	1987	3,153.4	280.0		1987
550.0 5,582.2	200.0 300.0 210.0	913.7 3,408.5	1988	0.0			1988
150.0 5,490.0	700.0 140.0	1,500.0 3,000.0	1989	0.0			1989
0.0			1990	0.0			1990
0.0			1991	0.0			1991
0.0		in the second se	1992	0.0			1992
0.0			1993	0.0			1993
0.0			1994	0.0			1994
0.0			1995	0.0			1995
900.0	450.0 1,000.0 350.0	2,413.7	TOTALS	6,584.0	300.0	50 0	TOTALS 6,034.0

Total Project Costs All Phases 1985 Dollars Inflation Reserve Inflated Dollars	PROJECT TOTALS	Pathways, Restrooms, Benches, etc. Phase IV Totals	Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Off-site breeding and holding facilities Education Facilities and Visitor Amenities	Special Purpose Exhibits Tropical/Nocturnal Improvements Other Special Exhibits	Major Bioclimatic Exhibits Desert Zone Temperate Deciduous and Rain Forest Zones	Phase IV	Phase III Totals	Education Facilities and Visitor Amenities Education Facilities Parking Improvements	Animal Care and Zoo Support Facilities Administration Facilities Veterinary Complex - Stage	Special Purpose Exhibits Horse & Pony facilities Other Special Exhibits	Major Bioclimatic Exhibits Taiga Zone Montane Zone Savanna Zone Steppe Zone	÷			
1985 660.0 0.0 660.0	٠	0.0	ļ e		·	1985	0.0		ge 2		្រ				
1986 2,770.6 138.5 2,909.1	770.6	0.0				1986	0.0		•	,		:			
1987 4,203.4 475.0 4,678.4	03.4	. 0.0				1987	0.0							,	
1988 5,582.2 1,003.6 6,585.8	5,582.2	. 0.0				1988	0.0			٠		:			
1989 5,570.0 1,395.7 6,965.7	'n	0.0				1989	80.0	80.0				· :			
1990 3,390.0 1,103.8 4,493.8	3,390.0	0.0				1990	3,390.0	120.0 320.0	50.0 600.0	150.0 150.0	1,200.0 600.0 200.0				
1991 5,257.2 2,129.9 7,387.1	5,25	- 0.6				1991	5,257.2	380.0	500.0	100.0	0 1,200.0 0 1,137.0 0 1,213.7 626.5	į			
1992 4,100.0 2,006.7 6,106.7	4,100	. 0.0				1992	4,100.0		300.0		1,200.0 1,200.0 1,400.0	;			
1993 3,588.7 2,077.2 5,665.9	3,588.7	300.0	·	200.0 75.0	913.7 300.0	1993	1,800.0		÷		400.0 1,400.0	:			
1994 3,643.5 2,454.0 6,097.5	3,643.5		300.0	100.0 375.0	1,500.0 768.5	1994	0.0				00	<u>;</u>			
1995 2,000.0 1,547.9 3,547.9	2,000.0	0 0	1,200.0	•	400.0	1995	0.0								
TOTALS 40,765.6 14,322.3 55,097.9	40,765.6	<i>ε</i> ω	1,500.0	300.0 450.0	2,413.7 1,468.5	TOTALS	14,627.2	500.0 400.0	50.0 1,400.0	250.0 250.0	3,000.0 2,937.0 2,413.7 3,426.5		·	•	

땊	
HIBIT	
Ð	
暑	
w	

Arbitrage calculations: Percent of sale plus interest spent in 5 years	interest earnings less: bond/note sale expenses project costs Ending balance, total fund	Beginning balance, total fund plus: bord sale proceeds donations, major campaigns donations, fram	donations, other interest earnings less: project costs Ending balance, donations subfund	Beginning balance, donations subfund plus: donations, major campaigns	project costs End. bal., 2nd bond sale proceeds subfund	interest earnings less: bond/note sale excenses	<pre>Beg. bal., 2nd bond sale proceeds subfund plus: bond sale proceeds</pre>	project costs End. bal., 1st bond sale proceeds subfund	interest earnings less: bond/note sale expenses	Bond Fund Cash Flow: Beg. bal., 1st bond sale proceeds subfund plus: bond sale proceeds	pre-bond issue donations/spending Required total fund revenue	••	Zoo Development Plan Revenue Needs:		Bond sale costs, incl \$20,000 BAN costs : Bond fund earning rate (regrounded spai-annually) .	INTEREST/INFLATION ASSUMPTIONS	
	700.0	0.0 700.0	700.0	700.0 *							467. e) 0. e	660.0 9.9 93.9)	1985	•	•		
	2,308.8 (199.8) (2,989.1) 19,439.1	788.0 18,686.↑ 833.0	0.0 114.4 0.0 1,647.4	700. 0 833. 6			e. •	(2, 909, 1) 17, 791, 6	2,194.4	18 696 4	2,989.1	2,77 0 .6 138.5	1986	10.00x	190.0		
	1, 814, 2 9, 9 (4, 678, 4) 17, 774, 9	19, 439, 1	200.0 200.0 151.8 (1,533.0) 1,466.2	1,647.4 1,660.0				(3, 145. 4) 16, 308. 7	1,662.4	17,791.6	4,678.4	4, 203. 4 475. 0	1987	gaπ⊷	Ð		
	1,538.5 0.0 (6,585.8) 13,619.7	17, 774. 9 0. 0 700. 0	(1,280,6) (1,280,6) 1,301,2	1,466.2 788.8				(5, 385. 8) 12, 318. 5	(1, 395.6)	16, 308. 7	6,585.8	5,582.2 1,003.6	1988	Interim Financing - First bond issue sale - Second bond issue sale	Annual inflation rate :		•
Sale 1:	1,498.2 (80.0) (6,965.7) 21,476.0	13, 619. 7 12, 853. 7 380. 0	250.0 115.4 (900.0)	1,301.2 300.0	9. 0 13, 204. 7	431.0	0.0	(6, 865. 7) 7, 284. 7	951.8	12,318.5	6, 965. 7	5,570.0 1,395.7	1989	- 1		- Table FINANCIAL (Dollars in	EYHTR1 H
85.0%	258.8 2,019.7 8.8 (4,493.8) 19,951.8	21, 476. 8 8. 9 789. 9	1,596.0 (550.0)	1,066.6 789.9	0.0 14,558.2	1,353.5	13,204.7	(3, 943. 8) 3, 797. 2	536. 4	7,204.7	4,493.8	3,390.0 1,103.6	1990	January 1986 April 1986 September 1989	1985	2 - L PLAN 1 666's)	EXHIBIT H - PHKI S
	1,697.2 0.0 (7,387.1) 14,862.0	19, 951. 8 8. 8 388. 8	398.9 145.7 (958.9) 1,392.1	1,596.4	(2,580.5) 13,469.9	1,492.2	14,558.2	(3, 856. 6) 8. 8	59.3	3,797.2	7, 387. 1	5,257.2 2,129.9	1991	p. 64	2.00		
	1,266.8 0.0 (6,196.7) 11,122.0	14, 862. 8 9. 9	2,050.4 2,050.4	1,392.1	(5,586.7) 9,061.6	1,098.4	13,469.9	 	e. 8	0.0	6, 196. 7	4, 100. 0 2, 006. 7	1992			. c	TITES R
Sale 2:	450.0 888.1 (5,665.9) 7,094.2	11, 122. 0 0. 0 300. 0	459.0 193.3 (1,100.0) 1,903.7	2,969.4 308.5	(4, 565. 9) 5, 190. 5	694.8	9,061.6	9.9 9.9	0.0	9.0	5,665.9	3,588.7 2,077.2	1993				TOTAL ROWN TSSIFT
87.4%	458.8 473.6 9.8 2,620.2 2,620.2	7,094.2 6.0 790.0	450.0 180.3 (1,440.2) 1,793.8	1,983.7	(4,657.4) 826.5	293.3	5, 190.5	9.9. 8.8	0.6	8. 8	6,097.5	3,643.5 2,454.0	1994			2001	71 460 1
	500.0 127.7 0.0 (3,547.9)	2,620.2 6.0 300.0	598.8 127.7 (2,721.4) 0.1	1, 793. 8	(826.5) 0.0	6.9	826, 5	9.9 9.9	0.0	8. 8	3,547.9	2,000.0 1,547.9	1995				
	3,000.0 13,624.8 (180.0) (54,437.9)**	31, 460, 1 6, 533, 0	3,000.0 1,461.7 (10,994.6)	E 823 0	(18, 137.0)	12, 853, 7 5, 363, 3		(25, 306, 3)	6,799.9	3	(153.6) (467.8) 54, 437.9	40, 765. 6 14, 332. 3	TOTALS				

Note: The Financial Plan is based on assumptions and projections which rely on current information and may be subsequently revised, as authorized in the Zoo Cooperation Agreement.

* \$700,000 donation receipts do not reflect 1985 pre-bond issue donation receipts and expenditures of \$467,000 (which, when added to the \$6.53,000 and \$3M donation assumt totals \$10M).

** Total fund amount excludes \$660,000 received and expended in 1985 which was not part of the ordinance financing plan (Table 1 "Financing & Development Plan" portrays all project costs including the \$660,000 for a total of \$55,007.9).

EXHIBIT B

<u>Provisions to be Incorporated</u> Within Zoo Cooperation Agreement

- A. Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds shall be expended solely for capital costs of the Zoo Project. The term "capital costs" shall be construed consistently with the term "capital purposes" in Article VII, section 2(b) of the Washington Constitution and RCW 84.52.056, but, subject thereto, may include the costs of property acquisition and development, engineering, architecture, planning, financial, legal, relocation and other services lawfully incurred incident to the development of the Zoo Project and its financing, including the costs incident to the issuance of the bonds. The term capital costs shall not include maintenance, operation, or costs for replacement of equipment.
- B. All property paid for or financed with the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds and interest earned thereon shall be owned by the City but may be leased, mortgaged, or otherwise encumbered to the extent permitted by law and the Zoo Cooperation Agreement. The proceeds of any such lease, mortgage, or encumbrance, shall only be used for zoo-related programs. All improvements developed, purchased, constructed, or rehabilitated with the proceeds of the sale of the Bonds shall be managed by the City or its agents.
- C. The obligations of the County shall be limited to carrying out the provisions of the Zoo Cooperation Agreement. All contracts with respect to the Zoo Project and the

responsibility for subsequent maintenance and operation of the Zoo Project, with the exception of those related to the issuance and payment of the Bonds and the management of the Bond proceeds while on deposit with the County, shall be the obligations of the City.

- D. Any property or improvements developed, acquired, constructed, or rehabilitated by the City with the proceeds of the Bonds may be sold or otherwise disposed of by the City to the extent permitted by law. The proceeds of any such sale or lease, other than an operating lease which is provided for in Section B of this exhibit, including interest if the sale or lease is on a deferred payment basis, shall be used in the following order of priority: for the Zoo Project; deposited in the County's Bond Redemption Fund if the Bonds are still outstanding; or for other Zoo capital purposes.
- E. The proceeds of the Bonds will be deposited in the Zoo
 Development Fund of the County and will be invested and
 managed by the King County director of finance until such
 time as the proceeds are transferred to the City pursuant to
 Section P of this Exhibit. Within the limits of the State
 Constitution, and Statutes, the County shall invest the Zoo
 Development Fund monies pursuant to directions from a City of
 Seattle representative. The proceeds of the Bonds and
 interest thereon, when transferred to the City, and private
 donations received to pay costs of the Zoo Project, shall be
 deposited in a Zoo Project construction fund of the City and
 invested and managed by the City. The City and the County
 agree to invest monies in a manner that shall comply with all
 applicable laws, rules and prudent investment practices.

While Bond proceeds are in the custody of the County, they shall be managed and invested in accordance with the County policies and practices except that the City shall be responsible for investment directions as provided in this section. While bond proceeds are in the custody of the City, they shall be managed and invested in accordance with City policies and practices. The City and County agree that they will not take or permit to be taken on their behalf any action which would adversely affect the exemption from federal income taxation of the interest on the Bonds and will take or require to be taken such acts as may reasonably be within their ability and as may from time to time be required under applicable law to continue the exemption from federal income taxation of the interest on the Bonds.

F. A Zoo Development Oversight Committee consisting of twelve members will be formed: with 5 members from unincorporated King County, 4 members from the City of Seattle, and 3 members from the suburban cities in King County. Five members will be appointed by the King County Executive and confirmed by the King County Council; four members will be appointed by the Mayor of Seattle and confirmed by the Seattle City Council; and three members will be appointed by the Suburban Cities Association. The City of Seattle shall review the recommendations of the Zoo Commission in making appointments to the Zoo Development Oversight Committee. All appointees shall reside in King County. Members of the committee shall serve for up to a three-year term and may be reappointed. The initial term of appointment shall be established by lot; five members shall serve three years, four members shall serve two years, and three members shall serve one year. The committee shall select its own chair.

Support for the operation and staffing of the Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall be funded by the City of Seattle. The City may utilize Zoo Bond proceeds from the inflation reserve up to an amount of \$50,000 annually and from City operating monies if necessary.

The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall review and approve the staffing plan for its operation, as recommended by the City and within the funding levels established by City appropriation. Annually, the Oversight Committee will evaluate the staffing plan and recommend to the City revisions, if any, to the plan no later than July 1, in order to correspond to the City's budgeting process.

The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall have authority for hiring appropriate staff for its operation within the funding levels established by City appropriation.

G. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall monitor the performance of the City and the County on the Zoo Project as shown in the Financing and Development Plan (Exhibit A of the Bond Ordinance). Any modifications to the Financing and Development Plan shall be made by the Legislative Authority of the County after recommendation by the City and review by the Zoo Development Oversight Committee. Such modifications to the Zoo Project shall not become effective until approved by the County Council by ordinance following appropriate public notice. The City shall apply the Zoo Project resources solely for the purpose of financing the Zoo Project substantially as described in the Financing and Development Plan. The Zoo Project and the Financing and Development Plan may be modified pursuant to the Zoo Cooperation Agreement.

32

33

1

H. Any proposed modification to the Zoo Project shall be accompanied by a revised Financing and Development Plan and supporting detail necessary to show that such modification(s) will not materially impair the accomplishment of the remainder of the Zoo Project as described in the Bond Ordinance. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall develop suggested criteria for evaluating proposed modifications to the Zoo Project, based in part on the recommendations and criteria contained in the Zoo Commission Report.

A component of the Zoo Project may be abandoned (and the Zoo Project modified accordingly) with the approval of the Zoo Development Oversight Committee and the Legislative Authorities of the County and City, if they find that the accomplishment of the project is not practical or legal, or that the component would no longer serve its intended purpose or be desirable. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall develop suggested criteria for determining when the Zoo Project, as it may have been modified pursuant to this agreement, is complete. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall apply those criteria and shall make a recommendation to the County Legislative Authority when the Committee believes the Zoo Project is complete. The County Legislative Authority shall make the final determination as to when the Zoo Project is complete or the Zoo Project has been otherwise duly provided for.

I. In order to complete the Zoo Project in total as described in the Bond Ordinance or modified through the process described in sections G and H above, the City agrees to contribute sufficient funds from other revenue sources or reserve funds

of the City not to exceed \$3.5 million to address shortfalls if they occur under either of the following circumstances:

- Investment earnings from all sources do not reach the projected levels contained in the Financing and Development Plan; or
- Total project costs including inflation of the Zoo
 Project exceed the projection contained in the Financing and Development Plan.
- J. The City shall submit quarterly written reports to the Zoo Development Oversight Committee, the King County Executive, and the King County Council displaying and explaining: the balance of the Zoo Project funds and accounts; financial transactions of the Zoo Project funds and accounts; progress to date on all private fund-raising; and the current status of any and all planning, design and construction of the Zoo Project. The County shall submit quarterly reports to the Zoo Development Oversight Committee, the Mayor of Seattle, and the Seattle City Council displaying the balance in the Zoo Development Fund, and comparing the status of the fund to that contemplated in the zoo development financing plan.
- K. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall report in writing to the King County Council, King County Executive, Seattle City Council and Mayor, Mayors and Councilmembers of suburban cities, and the public at least once each year on the status of the Zoo Project. Such report shall address financial and program conformance with the bond ordinance and this agreement and recommendations for ensuring cost-effective and timely completion of the Zoo Project.

- L. The City of Seattle shall provide funds to maintain a high standard of operation and maintenance support for the Zoo, anticipating increases may be necessary to maintain new Zoo Project exhibits and facilities.
- M. The funds and accounts of the City and the County with respect to the Zoo Project shall be available for audit on a yearly basis by the State Auditor. The Zoo Project shall be subject to comprehensive fiscal and program audit by the County Auditor pursuant to K.C.C. 2.20. The City will provide free access to the King County Auditor to all financial and program records concerning the Zoo Project.
- N. If any monies remain in the Zoo Project construction fund of the City after the Zoo Project is complete, the Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall propose an allocation of the remaining funds (including interest earned thereon) according to their source between the County and the City and may include any other entity that may be entitled to them. The Zoo Development Oversight Committee shall submit its recommendations and supporting calculations to the City and County Legislative Authorities for their approval.
- O. The County shall not issue its Bonds until the City has officially incorporated the Zoo Project as part of the Woodland Park Zoo Long Range Plan and environmental review of amendments to the Long Range Plan have been completed.
- P. Bond proceeds for each phase (as such term is used in Exhibit

 A of the bond ordinance) of the Zoo Project shall be released
 to the City as soon as practicable after (1) the environmental
 review and approval by appropriate governmental agencies for

such phase has been completed; (2) the proposed capital improvement program plan for the phase has been reviewed by the Zoo Development Oversight Committee and approved by the County Legislative Authority; and (3) the City has demonstrated that private donations per the financing plan have been substantially committed for that particular phase. Bond proceeds to develop a detailed capital improvement program plan for each phase shall be released to the City as soon as practicable, after review and approval of the request by the Oversight Committee and after County Council approval if necessary.

In addition, no bond proceeds for phase one will be released until: (1) the City has established a Zoo project construction fund required by the bond ordinance, and (2) the Zoo Development Oversight Committee has reviewed and the County Legislative Authority has approved a detailed implementation plan and capital improvement program for the entire Zoo Project, based on the Financing and Development Plan contained in Exhibit A.

The City further agrees to allow the County, after each Bond sale, to withhold funds from the Bond proceeds sufficient to pay the costs of selling the Bonds and the first year's interest on the Bonds. Subject to the conditions set forth above, an amount equivalent to the funds so withheld, plus interest earned thereon, shall be conveyed to the City after the second half property tax collections are collected in the calendar year following the year of each bond sale.

The City shall have an opportunity to review and comment on the County's plan for interim financing.